

Sir - Having ^{- p1} recently followed Hall
 Mills case from the date of the
 murder until now, Both examination
 of witnesses and others printed in
 the Press, I have been much
 impressed by the seeming
 laxness of investigation of said
 "Pig Woman" Mr Gibson also the
 Mills Family. Mr Mills has said
 on several occasions he thinks Mrs
 Hall knows more than she tells,
 Now that has ever been the opinion
 I have held of himself, And I
 see by the New York Times of Monday
 16 Aug - he & Mrs Gibson admit they
 met & held a conversation about
 her seeing the Murder, And I still
 think he knows more than ^{tells} he + Now here
 are a few observations gleaned from
 evidence as I read it in the Press.

92
Mrs Gibson says, she ^g was out looking for thieves
the night of the murder, she has said
repeatedly she has fired a gun.

Question! Had she a gun with her?

If so, Was she likely to use it on persons
she saw and suspected to be thieves?

Why was she never asked these questions?

She admits she has fired a gun many
times to scare off thieves, also that
she could use a knife to kill a pig.

Could a woman who could kill a
Pig use a sharp knife to cut the head
nearly off a human being?

Now she said, on the night of the
murder she was following a wagon
thinking the person in it was after
her cow, The night was very dark, and
she has said she did not know the
neighborhood and did not know who
owned the farm, yet said she was two
miles from home, Altho she heard shots
she never thought of reporting it to the Police
as she had fired shots herself & never was reported,

Then ^{P3} in the very ³ act of looking for thieves
she peer through the bushes & sees
three people bending over the ground
& said she did not know there was
any one dead on the ground but
thought the dark spots on the
ground were shadows from trees,
Now could she not have thought
these three people were thieves in
a crouching position after having
heard the Donkey pushing through
the bushes and were hiding, In
such a case would she have
been likely herself to have fired,
Now if as she has said she did not
know the neighborhood well, ² How
was it she found her way home so quickly?
Then, it must have been a very excited
person who went all of two miles before
she found she had lost a moccasin.
Why was she so excited? And Why did
she have to go back in the dark
night through a neighborhood she did

Not know^{P⁴} so well to find a party moccasin
Any normal woman⁴ with ~~nothing to fear~~
would have waited till day light at
least, if she had nothing to fear.
Especially as she has said, she was
always afraid to be out in the night,
as it made her very nervous, Now
Had she any reason to fear her moccasin
being found? No nervous person could
ever have had courage to make
two trips in the dark through a
part she did not know so well
And find her way to & from in such
a short time and go straight to
the stump she says she stumbled
over, unless she was labouring
under some strain of fear. It seems
to me only a strong motive could
have urged any person afraid & nervous
of the night to have gone out to look
for a mere moccasin as she did, Then
her concealed visit to Mills. And why
also did he conceal it till now? Then these
2 men who say Mrs Hall saw them

5
crush ^{PS} money. What does Mrs Gibson
know of them? also how much do they
know of her? And were they frequenters
of her home, Now I remember well
in the beginning of the case 4 years
ago, that it was found some people had
been in the empty farm house on that
night, could there have been a little
party or meeting of a few friends there,
and if so, who were they, and what kind
were they? I have always felt I am
right about this. Mrs Gibson in her
evidence 4 years ago ^{was not} ~~half~~ ⁺ so positive of
Willie Stephens, but rather she maintained
it was a coloured man she saw,
she is zealously positive now of all three,
she saw under the tree the night of the
murder. It does seem strange to me
that these remarks of mine have never
been voiced by those investigating
the case, and that such questions have
never been asked of Mrs Gibson. I often
wondered if they were being reserved for a

future date, ^{P. 6} 6 But in the name of
Justice and fairness to that much
tortured woman Mrs Hall. Why has it not
been done? That the every word and
actions of the accused should be
doubted and torn to shreds, while
the other side (the accusers) should
go scott free from strict investigation
is more than I can see, and wonder
if there ^{are} those working on the case
who share my thoughts, To see
good reputable Citizens almost in a
manner put in the light of Murderers
with the State doing all it can
on such evidence to put a noose
round the necks of respected Citizens,
while Mrs Gibson, & ^{Eds} go as they are doing.
As a Justice loving Citizen and
lover of fair play I cant see how
it is allowed to go ahead so long.
And there are many like me I know,

Mrs Gibson I see from the papers is more protected from reporters & from Mr Hall, Why is this? The State at present seems to have it all its way and are very pointed where the accused are concerned, But I hope these few observations will help shed a ray of light and that those accused will get a broader measure of Justice meted out to them than it seems they have had heretofore, Now I do not pretend to be any authority on law or investigation, Only a Justice loving Citizen who uses her own mind & brain, as I have a perfect right to, But if it will help any Politician to gain a coveted place in the Sun, let him do it with Justice & fair play to all concerned in clearing up this case if he wants the vote of honorable

Men ^{P8} and women who will only tolerate
straight forward justice & fair play
done in an honest manner. For
After all "No One is Guilty till Proven
So"

August 14-26.

I have had this on my mind
for so long and had to write it
in hope it will help those in
such trouble as Mrs Hall.

I am a very busy woman and
and have a hard struggle to get
time to do this, That is how it
is in pencil

P.S. I believe the cutting of Mrs Mills
throat was done to make it appear the
vengeance work of a jealous woman,
Mills may have told Mr Gibson Mc Carpenters
name was Henry, or she may have learned it else-
where

COPY

Sir-

Having keenly followed Hall Mills case from the date of the murder until now, both examination of witnesses and other, printed in the press, I have been much impressed by the seeming laxness of investigation of said "Pig Woman" Mrs. Gibson also the Mills family. Mr. Mills has said on several occasions he thinks Mrs. Hall knows more than she tells. Now that has ever been the opinion I have held of himself, and I see by the New York Times of Monday, 16th Aug. he and Mrs. Gibson admit they met and held a conversation about her seeing the murder, and I still think he knows more than he tells. Now here are a few observations gleaned from evidence as I read it in the press. Mrs. Gibson says, she was out looking for thieves the night of the murder, she has said repeatedly she has fired a gun. Question: Had she a gun with her? If so, was she likely to use it on persons she saw and suspected to be thieves? Why was she never asked these questions? She admits she has fired a gun many times to scare off thieves, also that she could use a knife to kill a pig. ? Could a woman who could kill a pig use a sharp knife to cut the head nearly off a human being? Now she said, on the night of the murder she was following a wagon thinking the person in it was after her corn, The night was very dark, and she has said she did not know the neighborhood and did not know who owned the farm, yet said she was two miles from home. Altho she heard shots she never thought of reporting it to the police as she had fired shots herself and never was reported. Then in the very act of looking for thieves she peers through the bushes and sees three people bending over the ground and said she did not know there was anyone dead on the ground but thought the dark spots on the ground were shadows from trees, now could she not have thought these three people were thieves in a crouching position after having heard the donkey pushing through the bushes and were hiding. In such a case would she have been likely herself to have fired. Now if as she has said she did not know the neighborhood well, ? How was it she found her way home so quickly? Then, it must have been a very excited person who went all of two miles before she found she had lost a moccasin. Why was she so excited? And why did she have to go back in the dark night through a neighborhood she did not know so well to find a paltry moccasin. Any normal woman would have waited till daylight at least, if she had nothing to fear. Especially as she has said, she was always afraid to be out in the night, as it made her very nervous. Now had she any reason to fear her moccasin being found? No nervous person could ever have had courage to make two trips in the dark through a part she did not know so well and find her way to and from in such a short time and go straight to the stump she says she stumbled over, unless she was labouring under some strain of fear. It seems to me only a strong motive could have urged any person afraid and nervous of the night to have gone out to look for a mere moccasin as she did. Then her concealed visit to Mills. And why also did he conceal it till now? Then these 2 men who say Mrs. Hall gave them hush money. What does Mrs. Gibson know of them? Also how much do they know of her? And were they frequenters of her home. Now I remember well in the beginning of the case 4 years ago that it was found some people had been in the empty farm house on that night. Could there have been a little party or meeting of a few friends there, and if so, who were they and what kind were they? I have always felt I am right about this. Mrs. Gibson in her evidence 4 years ago was not half so positive of Willie Stephens, but rather she maintained it was a coloured man she saw, she is zealously positive now of all three, she saw under the tree the night of the murder. It does seem